

No. 9/5/84-6 Lab/6499.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. (i) Contractor Ajit Singh & Co., c/o M/s National Fertilizer Ltd., Panipat, (ii) M/s National Fertilizers Ltd., Panipat.

BEFORE SHRI R. N. BATRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 278 of 1983

between

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S (i) CONTRACTOR AJIT SINGH & CO. C/O M/S NATIONAL FERTILIZERS LTD., PANIPAT, (ii) M/S NATIONAL FERTILIZERS LTD., PANIPAT AND ITS WORKMEN

Present—None.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s (i) Contractor Ajit Singh & Co., c/o National Fertilizers Ltd., Panipat, (ii) National Fertilizers Ltd., Panipat and its workmen to this Tribunal, for adjudication.

Whether the Contractor Ajit Singh & Co. of M/s National Fertilizers Ltd., Panipat should be required to employ the following workers on civil works? If so, with what details:—

- (1) Rakesh Kumar, (2) Shri Kitab Singh, (3) Shri Ram Chander, (4) Shri R. D. Ram, (5) Shri Daya Chand, (6) Shri Mahabir, (7) Shri Bal Raj, (8) Shri Sultan Singh, (9) Shri Kabil.

Notices were issued to the parties. None was present on behalf of the workmen in spite of service and as such *ex parte* proceedings were ordered against the workmen. On the last date of hearing, none was present on behalf of the management even they were represented earlier and as such *ex parte* proceedings were ordered against the management. It appears that both the parties are not interested in the reference. The award is passed accordingly.

Dated the 4th September, 1984.

R. N. BATRA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 914, dated the 4th September, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. BATRA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-6 Lab/6500.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Kumar Woollen Industries, Weaver Colony, Panipat.

BEFORE SHRI R. N. BATRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA FARIDABAD

Reference No. 439 of 1982

between

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S KUMAR WOOLLEN INDUSTRIES WEAVER COLONY, PANIPAT AND ITS WORKMEN

Present.—

Shri Karam Singh, for the workmen.
Shri Surinder Kaushal, for the management.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Kumar Woollen Industries Weaver, Colony, Panipat and its workmen to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

- (1) Whether the termination of services of S/Shri Sohan Lal, Krishan Lal, Hukam Chand, Randhir, Rakam Naklu Ram, Sultan, Nand Lal, Om Pal and Girdhari was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief are they entitled ?
- (2) Whether the workmen are entitled to grant of bonus at the rate of 20 per cent for the year 1981-82 ? If so, with what details ?

Notices of the reference were sent to both the parties. On the last date of hearing, Shri Surinder Kaushal, representative of the management, stated that the disputes between all the workmen and the management had already been settled and that Ex. M-1 to M-11 were the receipts executed by the workmen in that respect which were correct and that all the workmen had already received the bonus at 8.33 per cent and no dispute was left between the parties. Shri Karan Singh, representative of the workmen stated that he had heard the above statement made by the representative of the management, which was correct and that all the disputes between the workmen and the management had been settled as mentioned above and that no dispute remained between the parties. In view of the testimony of Shri Surinder Kaushal, representative of the management and Shri Karan Singh, representative of workman and recitals made in the documents Ex. M-1 to M-11, the dispute between the workmen and the management already stands settled. The award is passed accordingly.

Dated the 4th September, 1984.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 915, dated the 4th September, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-Lab/6505.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Gurukul Engineers, 32, Link Road, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R. N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 74 of 1984

between

SHRI DHARAM LAL SHARMA, WORKMAN AND THE, RESPONDENT MANAGEMENT OF M/S GURUKUL ENGINEERS, 32, LINK ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present.—None for the parties.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/47-84/16704-9, dated 30th April, 1984, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the industrial dispute existing between Shri Dharam Pal Sharma, workman and the respondent management of M/s Gurukul Engineers, 32, Link Road, Faridabad.

This term of the reference was—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dharam Pal Sharma, was justified and in order ? If not to what relief is he entitled ?

None appeared for either side. Called many a times. It is already 10.25 a.m. The workman has been duly served. It shows that the workman is not interested in the reference. Hence, there is no dispute pending between the parties.

Dated the 13th August, 1984

R. N. SINGAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1872, dated 6th September, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

R. N. SINGAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-Lab/6506.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Singh Associates P. Ltd., Udyog Building Sector 15-A, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R. N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER LABOUR COURT, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 19 of 1982

between

SHRI VED PAL, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT MANAGEMENT OF M/S SINGH ASSOCIATES
P. LTD., UDYOG BUILDING, SECTOR 15-A, FARIDABAD

~~Present. None for the workman.~~

Shri G.S. Narula, for the respondent.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/201/82/57044-49, dated 24th December, 1983 under section 10(i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the industrial dispute existing between Shri Ved Pal, workman and the respondent of M/s. Singh Associates Pvt. Ltd., Udyog Building, Sector 15-A, Faridabad. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ved Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The representative of the respondent management has stated that the workman has compromised his dispute with the respondent. He has filed settlement Ex.M-1. In view of the statement the award is given that there is no dispute pending between the parties.

Dated the 13th August, 1984.

R. N. SINGAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1873, dated 6th September, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

R. N. SINGAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.